



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL  
FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

		Application Number	10/606,054
		Filing Date	06/25/2003
		First Named Inventor	Catherine Solich
		Art Unit	2873
		Examiner Name	T. J. Thompson
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	4	Attorney Docket Number	

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Group	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information	
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter	
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer		
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund		
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application			
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			
Remarks			

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	Catherine Solich	
Signature	X Catherine Solich	
Date	2/3/04	

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Catherine Solich	
Signature	X Catherine Solich	Date
	2/3/04	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



## Response to a first Office Action Rejection

Application SNo. 10/606,054

This communication is a response to a first office action having a mailing date of 11/24/2003 and setting forth a shortened period for response of three months which would expire on 02/24/2004.

The examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, and 4 as being unpatentable over Fanselow, US Patent No. 3,704,938.

The applicant has communicated with the examiner during a telephone conversation on 01/21/04 and discussed the subject of the invention. The examiner agreed that if claim 1 would be amended to include the limitation of "a means for adjusting the product to accommodate different size papers", such a claim would be deemed allowable. This has been done by amending claim 1.

The examiner has indicated that claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. This has been done.

In view of all of the above it appears that this application is in condition for allowance and a notice to this effect is hereby solicited.

X Catherine Solich  
Catherine Solich

Date: X 2/3/04